

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/581,050	12/27/1995	TAKAHISA UEDA		6204
23364 75	90 09/13/2004		EXAM	INER
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			DEPUMPO, DANIEL G	
625 SLATERS LANE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOURTH FLOOR			ARTONII	TATER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3611	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/12/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
Office Action Summary	08/581,050	UEDA, TAKAHISA			
Cince Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication of	Daniel G. DePumpo	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	3 July 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11,13,14 and 16-31 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,3-11,13,14 and 5 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	1 <u>6-31</u> is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	=	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
,	Examinor. Note the attached	Chiec Action of form 1 10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreing a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority. 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been een (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 08/581,050

Art Unit: 3611

- 1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application on July 28, 2004 after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, and after abandonment of the application. Accordingly, the RCE is improper and any time period running was not tolled by the filing of the improper request.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-11, 13, 14 and 16-31 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.
- 3. A Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision in an application has *res judicata* effect and is the "law of the case" and is thus controlling in that application and any subsequent, related application. Therefore, a submission containing arguments without either an amendment of the rejected claims or the submission of a showing of facts will not be effective to remove such rejection. See MPEP § 706.03(w) and 1214.01.

Claim 2 is finally rejected under res judicata, in view of the Board decision of 2/27/04.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda '030 in view of Ogino.

See the outstanding rejection of record.

6. Claim 2 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Case in view of Schnitzler.

See the outstanding rejection of record.

Application/Control Number: 08/581,050

Art Unit: 3611

7. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is 703 308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703 308 1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 08/581,050

Art Unit: 3611

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel G. DePumpo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

dgd 9/6/04